



2826

PATENT

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Honeywell Case No. B10-17363

(MBHB Ref. No. 00-280)

In the Application of:

Joon-Won Kang

Serial No.: 10/040,543

Filing Date: January 7, 2002

For: Contactless Acceleration Switch

Examiner: Victor A. Mandala

Group Art Unit: 2826

TRANSMITTAL LETTER

Box Non-Fee Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

RECEIVED
JAN 29 2003
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

In regard to the above-identified patent application:

1. We are transmitting herewith the attached:
 - A. Response to the Office Action Mailed December 31, 2002; and
 - B. Return Receipt Postcard.
2. GENERAL AUTHORIZATION: Please charge any additional fees or credit overpayments to the Deposit Account No. 13-2490. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.
3. CERTIFICATE UNDER 37 CFR 1.8: The undersigned hereby certifies that this Transmittal Letter and papers, as described in paragraph 1 hereinabove, are being deposited with the United States Postal Service, with sufficient postage as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: Box Non-Fee Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C. 20231, on January 21, 2003.

Dated: January 21, 2003

By:

Lisa M. Schoedel
Lisa M. Schoedel
Reg. No. P-53,564



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
Honeywell Case No. B10-17363
(MBHB Ref. No. 00-280)

PATENT

#4/Electron
1/31/03
V/S

In the Application of:

Joon-Won Kang

Serial No.: 10/040,543

Filing Date: January 7, 2002

For: Contactless Acceleration Switch

Examiner: Victor A. Mandala

Group Art Unit: 2826

RESPONSE TO THE OFFICE ACTION MAILED DECEMBER 31, 2002

Box Non-Fee Amendment
Commissioner for Patents
Washington, D.C. 20231

Dear Sir:

Please consider the following remarks made in response to the Office Action mailed December 31, 2002.

RECEIVED
JAN 29 2003
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

REMARKS

In response to the restriction requirement, the Applicant elects without prejudice Group I, drawn to a contactless acceleration switch, for prosecution on the merits, with traverse.

The Examiner claims that the device of the group I invention could be made by processes materially different from those in the group II invention. The Applicant respectfully disagrees with the Examiner. The method claims recite standard semiconductor device fabrication techniques. The Applicant believes that the device of group I could not be made by processes materially different from the claimed method.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING (37 C.F.R. 1.8a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first class mail in an envelope addressed to the: Commissioner for Patents, Washington D.C. 20231, on January 21, 2003.

Date: January 21, 2003

Lisa M. Schoedel
Lisa M. Schoedel